

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,938	-	10/19/2004	Goran Sundholm	U 015416-8	8709
140	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS & 26 WEST 6		er	ALI, HYDER		
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3747	
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/511,938	SUNDHOLM, GORAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HYDER ALI	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1.9-12,14,17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-8,13,15,16,18,19 and 22-24</u> is/are o 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	I. bjected to.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
•						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,938

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,9-12,14,17,20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rumez et al (US 5,566,655).

As to Claim 1, Rumez et al discloses in a method controlling intake air humidification spraying apparatus, the apparatus comprising at least two spraying nozzles (8,9) for spraying a liquid into the intake air, the method characterized in that when an amount of the liquid to be supplied through the spraying nozzles increases, liquid flow passages are opened for more nozzles (8,9) and when an amount of the liquid to be supplied through the nozzles (8,9) decreases, liquid flow channels are closed at least for one of the spraying nozzles (8,9). See col. 3, lines 20-24.

As to Claim 9, Rumez et al discloses the amount of liquid to be supplied through the spraying nozzles (8,9) is adjusted as a function of the engine load.

As to Claim 10, Rumez et al discloses a liquid mist is sprayed through the spraying nozzles (8,9).

As to Claim 11, Rumez et al discloses the liquid mist is injected at a pressure of 10-300 bar (inherently and necessarily present for the operation of the nozzles 8,9)

Application/Control Number: 10/511,938

Art Unit: 3747

As to Claim 12, Rumez et al discloses maximum droplet size of the liquid mist injected is typically 200 micrometers (inherently and necessarily present in a nozzles 8,9).

As to Claim 14, Rumez et al discloses in apparatus supplying a liquid into intake air of an engine, the improvements comprising: at least two spraying nozzles 8,9 for spraying a liquid as a mist into a duct 4 for the intake air; at least two feed channels 27,26 with valve elements 10,10 respectively leading to the spraying nozzles 8,9; a control system 14,15,16 giving impulses on the basis of which the valve elements 10,10 are opened and closed; and liquid supply means for supplying the liquid into the feed channels 26,27.

As to Claim 17, Rumez et al discloses means for keeping the flow resistance constant. As to Claim 20, Rumez et al discloses liquid supply means comprise a liquid source and a pump (not shown).

As to Claim 21, Rumez et al discloses control system 14,15,16 has been adapted to control the apparatus on the basis of engine load.

2. Claims 1,10,11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al (US 5,620,503).

As to Claim 1, Miller et al discloses in a method controlling intake air humidification spraying apparatus, the apparatus comprising at least two spraying nozzles (52,54,56) for spraying a liquid into the intake air, the method characterized in that when an amount of the liquid to be supplied through the spraying nozzles increases, liquid flow passages are opened for more nozzles (52,54,56) and when an amount of the liquid to

be supplied through the nozzles decreases, liquid flow channels are closed at least for one of the spraying nozzles. **See col. 6, lines 50-54.**

As to Claim 10, Miller et al discloses a liquid mist is sprayed through the spraying nozzles.

As to Claim 11, Miller et al discloses the liquid mist is injected at a pressure of 10-300 bar (inherently and necessarily present for the operation of the nozzles)

As to Claim 12, Miller et al discloses maximum droplet size of the liquid mist injected is typically 200 micrometers (inherently and necessarily present in a nozzles).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 14,17,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen (US 3,779,213) in view of Bradshaw (US 4,494,596).

Knudsen discloses in apparatus supplying a liquid into intake air of an engine, the improvements comprising: at least two spraying nozzles 29 for spraying a liquid as a mist into a duct 17 for the intake air; at least two feed channels with valve elements (32,32,32) respectively leading to the spraying nozzles 29; and liquid supply means 26 for supplying the liquid into the feed channels.

Knudsen does not disclose a control system giving impulses on the basis of which valve elements are opened and closed.

Bradshaw discloses a control giving impulses on the basis of which valve elements (92) are opened and closed. **See col. 7, lines 9-11.**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Knudsen by employing a control giving impulses on the basis of which valve elements (92) are opened and closed as taught by Bradshaw. The motivation to do so would have been for a cooling water injection quantity from a cooling water injection nozzle is thereby controlled.

As to Claim 17, Knudsen discloses means for 29 keeping the flow resistance constant.

As to Claim 20, Bradshaw discloses liquid supply means comprise a liquid source and a pump.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8,13,15,16,18,19 and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HYDER ALI whose telephone number is (571) 272-4836. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Art Unit: 3747

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Kirk Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ha

Hyda the